

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/608,872	06/26/2003		Richard Hunter Harris	RPS920020124US1	7859		
45219	7590	01/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
KUNZLER 8 EAST BRO			WALSH,	WALSH, DANIEL I			
SUITE 600 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2876			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. \	Application No.	Applicant(s)	60
Advisory Action	10/608,872	HARRIS ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel I. Walsh	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	of Appeal. To avoid at affidavit, or other evide a compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of		a final soloation, which ou	aria latar In na
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th 			er is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(and the corresponding amount of the fee, atutory period for reply originally set in the	IRST REPLY WAS FILE a) and the appropriate extension The appropriate extension e final Office action; or (2)	ension fee have on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	OTE below); reducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amendment	(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendm	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.3-5.7-19 and 21-30.		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a	Natice of Appeal will r	not be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(nils to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by			ance because:

13. ☑ Other: see NOTE below.

Continuation of 3: The Examiner notes that the amendments to the claims necessitate new search/consideration.

NOTE: The Examiner notes that claims 1, 3-5, 7-19, and 21-30 remain rejected as per the Final Rejection (mail date 11-2-05). The Examiner notes that the prior art teaches the claimed limitations. The argument of the Applicant that the prior art is silent towards defeating fraud, it is noted that such features are not recited in the claims. Although the claims are interpreted it light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d (Fed. Cir. 1993). The Examiner has cited motivation for the combination of Seevers et al. (see Final Office Action). The Examiner maintains his Final Rejection.

Lon

KARL D. FRECH PRIMARY EXAMINER